_	BOIES SCHILLER FLEXNER LLP	QUINN EMANUEL URQUHART &
1	Mark C. Mao (CA Bar No. 236165)	SULLIVAN, LLP
2	mmao@bsfllp.com	Andrew H. Schapiro (pro hac vice)
3	44 Montgomery Street, 41st Floor San Francisco, CA 94104	andrewschapiro@quinnemanuel.com 191 N. Wacker Drive, Suite 2700
3	Telephone: (415) 293 6858	Chicago, IL 60606
4	Facsimile: (415) 999 9695	Telephone: (312) 705-7400
5	, ,	Facsimile: (312) 705-7401
	SUSMAN GODFREY L.L.P.	C41 A D (CA DN- 214(05)
6	William Christopher Carmody (pro hac vice) bcarmody@susmangodfrey.com	Stephen A. Broome (CA Bar No. 314605) stephenbroome@quinnemanuel.com
7	Shawn J. Rabin (pro hac vice)	Viola Trebicka (CA Bar No. 269526)
0	srabin@susmangodfrey.com	violatrebicka@quinnemanuel.com
8	1301 Avenue of the Americas, 32nd Floor	865 S. Figueroa Street, 10th Floor
9	New York, NY 10019	Los Angeles, CA 90017
1.0	Telephone: (212) 336-8330	Telephone: (213) 443-3000 Facsimile: (213) 443-3100
10	MORGAN & MORGAN	1 acsimile. (213) 443-3100
11	John A. Yanchunis (pro hac vice)	Diane M. Doolittle (CA Bar No. 142046)
12	jyanchunis@forthepeople.com	dianedoolittle@quinnemanuel.com
12	Ryan J. McGee (pro hac vice)	555 Twin Dolphin Drive, 5th Floor
13	rmcgee@forthepeople.com 201 N. Franklin Street, 7th Floor	Redwood Shores, CA 94065 Telephone: (650) 801-5000
14	Tampa, FL 33602	Facsimile: (650) 801-5000
14	Telephone: (813) 223-5505	1 4001111101 (020) 001 2100
15		
16	Attorneys for Plaintiffs; additional counsel	Attorneys for Defendant; additional counsel
	listed in signature blocks below	listed in signature blocks below
17	UNITED STATES	DISTRICT COURT
18		CT OF CALIFORNIA
19	SAN JUSE	DIVISION
19	CHASOM BROWN, WILLIAM BYATT,	Case No. 5:20-cv-03664-LHK
20	JEREMY DAVIS, CHRISTOPHER CASTILLO, and MONIQUE TRUJILLO,	
21	individually and on behalf of all others	[PROPOSED] JOINT STIPULATION
	similarly situated,	AND ORDER RE: EXPERT
22	Plaintiffs,	DISCOVERY
23	v.	
24	GOOGLE LLC,	
∠4		
25	Defendant.	
26		
27		
28		

The parties to the above-referenced action, through their respective counsel of record, hereby stipulate to the following regarding the scope of expert discovery relating to all testifying experts and non-testifying experts or expert consultants in this matter.

- 1. Except as provided otherwise in this Stipulation, expert discovery shall be governed by the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure and any other applicable rule. This Stipulation does not set or alter the time for any disclosure required by Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 26(a)(2)(B) or the timing of any deposition of any testifying expert.
- 2. To the extent that this Stipulation imposes limitations on discovery that would otherwise be available under the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure, the parties have agreed to those limitations to increase the efficiency of their dealings with testifying experts and to minimize discovery disputes regarding testifying experts. Neither the terms of this Stipulation nor the parties' agreement to them shall be considered an admission by any person that any of the information restricted from discovery by this Stipulation would otherwise be discoverable or admissible.
- 3. Except as provided in paragraphs 5 and 6 below, the following types of information shall not be the subject of any form of discovery, and the parties shall not be obligated to preserve such information in any form or include such information on any privilege log:
 - a. the content of oral, written or other communications among and between:
 - i. counsel and the expert and/or the expert's staff and/or supporting firms;
 - ii. counsel and any non-testifying expert consultant and/or the consultant's staff;
 - iii. a party and the expert and/or the expert's staff and/or supporting firms;
 - iv. a party and any non-testifying expert consultant and/or the consultant's staff;
 - v. the expert and other experts and/or other non-testifying expert consultants;

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
20

- vi. the expert and their staff and/or supporting firms;
- vii. non-testifying expert consultants and their staffs;
- viii. the expert and the respective staffs and/or supporting firms of other experts or non-testifying expert consultants;
- ix. non-testifying expert consultants and the respective staffs and/or supporting firms of other experts or non-testifying expert consultants; and/or
- x. the respective staffs and/or supporting firms of experts or non-testifying expert consultants and the staffs and/or supporting firms of other experts or non-testifying expert consultants.¹
- b. notes, drafts, written communications, preliminary or intermediate calculations, computations, or other types of preliminary work created by, for, or at the direction of a testifying expert in connection with this matter (aside from the final written expert report(s) and notes generated while testifying), including copies of documents produced by any party bearing the notes, markings, or comments of any of the following persons or their staff or agents: the expert, other expert witnesses, non-testifying experts, consultants or outside or in-house attorneys for the party or parties.
- 4. Except as provided in paragraphs 5 and 6 below, no party or their experts are obligated to preserve or produce budgets, invoices, bills, receipts or time records concerning the work performed by testifying or non-testifying expert witnesses or consultants, their staff, assistants, colleagues, associates, or other agents, or their companies or organizations, relating to the report, testimony, or services provided in this matter.
- 5. The limitations contained in paragraphs 3 and 4 above shall not apply to any communications, documents, calculations, computations or other forms of information or work

¹ For avoidance of doubt, suggestions from outside counsel regarding revisions to the form of the expert's report, or additional support for the expert's ultimate opinions are examples of the kind of communications that, under paragraph 3(a), are not subject to discovery.

upon which a testifying expert relies as a basis for any of his or her opinions or reports. To the extent a testifying expert relies on an assumption provided by counsel, the assumption—but not the communication(s) between counsel and the testifying expert concerning that assumption—must be disclosed.

- 6. Notwithstanding the limitations contained in paragraphs 3 and 4 above, a testifying expert may be asked to respond to reasonable questions regarding the hourly rates of the expert and his or her staff, the amount of time an expert or that expert's staff has spent on the expert's report and associated work, and the amount of money billed for the report and associated work.
- 7. Within three business days of any party serving any expert report and/or expert declaration pursuant to Fed. R. Civ. P. 26(a)(2)(B) or otherwise, the party or parties proffering the expert witness shall produce: the documents, data or other information relied upon by the expert witness in forming the expert witness's opinions; any exhibits that will be used to summarize or support the expert witness's opinions; and any work product (including but not limited to, analyses, spreadsheets, graphs, and charts) relied upon by the expert witness that is based on the output from any computer programs that are produced.² All other disclosures required by Fed. R. Civ. P. 26(a)(2)(B) will be served at the time of the report. "Documents, data or other information relied upon" shall include underlying schedules, spreadsheets, coding, or other information sufficient to reconstruct the work, calculations, and/or analyses upon which the expert witness is relying for his or her opinions.
- 8. The information required by paragraph 7 above shall be produced electronically (via email, disc or FTP site) where feasible. Data, statistical analyses, or other information (including any calculation or exhibit) upon which an expert relies for any of his or her opinion(s) in this matter shall be provided in machine readable format, including any data that has been

² Notwithstanding the obligation to disclose documents, data, or other information "relied on" by the expert witness, documents, data, or other information that is merely "considered by" the expert witness do not need to be disclosed.

cleaned, reformatted, or modified in any way from the form in which it may have been provided to the expert. All other documents, data, and other information relied upon shall be provided in a format as agreed to by the parties, along with any software and instructions required to read them, but no party need produce computer software that is reasonably and commercially available (e.g., Microsoft Word, Excel). Documents that are publicly available need not be produced if they are available online for free at an internet address identified in the expert's report/declaration. Documents that have previously been produced during discovery need not be produced if they are identified by Bates number.

- 9. Paragraph 7 above is not intended to limit the ability of any party to prepare and use demonstrative exhibits, including demonstrative exhibits that may relate to an expert's testimony, during the course of any argument, hearing or trial. The admissibility of any such demonstrative exhibits shall be subject to the Federal Rules of Evidence and the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure unless otherwise provided by order of the Court. The parties agree to meet and confer before the close of expert discovery regarding the timing and procedure for exchanging demonstratives that may be used with experts during a hearing, trial, or other proceeding.
- 10. No subpoenas (for depositions or documents) need be served on any testifying expert from whom a report or declaration is provided. Instead, the party proffering such expert will (a) be responsible for producing all materials and information required by the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure or this Stipulation, and (b) make the expert available for deposition at a time mutually agreed to by the parties and consistent with the Court's scheduling orders. To the extent the testifying expert is also a percipient witness, if otherwise permissible under the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure and the Local Rules, the opposing party is not precluded from serving a subpoena for depositions or documents on such witness seeking the witness's percipient testimony or documents.
- 11. Nothing in this Stipulation shall permit a party or a testifying expert to withhold any proposition, fact, belief or other data, information or material (including any assumption)

1	on which the expert relies in support of her or his opinion(s) in this matter or that is otherwise		
2	discoverable by order of the Court.		
3	12. The parties agree to comply with this Stipulation pending the Court's approval.		
4	IT IS SO STIPULATED.		
5			
6	Dated: September 13, 2021	BOIES SCHILLER FLEXNER LLP	
7	QUINN EMANUEL URQUHART & SULLIVAN, LLP	BOIES SCHILLER FLEANER LLF	
8	/s/ Andrew H. Schapiro	/s/ Mark C. Mao	
9	Andrew H. Schapiro (admitted <i>pro hac vice</i>) andrewschapiro@quinnemanuel.com	Mark C. Mao (CA Bar No. 236165) mmao@bsfllp.com	
10	191 N. Wacker Drive, Suite 2700	Sean Phillips Rodriguez (CA Bar No.	
11	Chicago, IL 60606 Tel: (312) 705-7400	262437) srodriguez@bsfllp.com	
12	Fax: (312) 705-7401	Beko Reblitz-Richardson (CA Bar No. 238027)	
13	Stephen A. Broome (CA Bar No. 314605)	brichardson@bsfllp.com	
14	stephenbroome@quinnemanuel.com Viola Trebicka (CA Bar No. 269526)	44 Montgomery Street, 41 st Floor San Francisco, CA 94104	
15	<u>violatrebicka@quinnemanuel.com</u> 865 S. Figueroa Street, 10th Floor	Tel: (415) 293 6858 Fax: (415) 999 9695	
16	Los Angeles, CA 90017		
	Tel: (213) 443-3000 Fax: (213) 443-3100	James W. Lee (<i>pro hac vice</i>) jlee@bsfllp.com	
17	1 u. (213) 443 3100	Rossana Baeza (pro hac vice)	
18	Jomaire A. Crawford (admitted <i>pro hac vice</i>) jomairecrawford@quinnemanuel.com	<u>rbaeza@bsfllp.com</u> 100 SE 2 nd Street, Suite 2800	
19	51 Madison Avenue, 22nd Floor	Miami, FL 33130	
20	New York, NY 10010 Telephone: (212) 849-7000	Tel: (305) 539-8400 Fax: (305) 539-1304	
21	Facsimile: (212) 849-7100		
22	Josef Ansorge (admitted pro hac vice)	William Christopher Carmody (<i>pro hac vice</i>)	
23	josefansorge@quinnemanuel.com Carl Spilly (admitted <i>pro hac vice</i>)	bcarmody@susmangodfrey.com Shawn J. Rabin (pro hac vice)	
24	carlspilly@quinnemanuel.com	srabin@susmangodfrey.com	
	1300 I Street NW, Suite 900 Washington D.C., 20005	Steven Shepard (pro hac vice) sshepard@susmangodfrey.com	
25	Tel: (202) 538-8000	Alexander P. Frawley (pro hac vice)	
26	Fax: (202) 538-8100	afrawley@susmangodfrey.com SUSMAN GODFREY L.L.P.	
27	Jonathan Tse (CA Bar No. 305468)	1301 Avenue of the Americas, 32 nd Floor	
28		5	
	[PROPOSED] JOINT STIPULATION AND	Case No. 5:20-cv-03664-LHK	

ORDER RE: EXPERT DISCOVERY

1	jonathantse@quinnemanuel.com	New York, NY 10019
2	50 California Street, 22nd Floor San Francisco, CA 94111	Tel: (212) 336-8330
3	Tel: (415) 875-6600	Amanda Bonn (CA Bar No. 270891)
4	Fax: (415) 875-6700	<u>abonn@susmangodfrey.com</u> SUSMAN GODFREY L.L.P.
5	Attorneys for Defendant Google LLC	1900 Avenue of the Stars, Suite 1400
		Los Angeles, CA 90067 Tel: (310) 789-3100
6		John A. Yanchunis (pro hac vice)
7		jyanchunis@forthepeople.com
8		Ryan J. McGee (<i>pro hac vice</i>) rmcgee@forthepeople.com
9		MORGAN & MORGAN, P.A.
10		201 N Franklin Street, 7th Floor Tampa, FL 33602
11		Tel: (813) 223-5505 Fax: (813) 222-4736
12		, ,
13		Michael F. Ram (CA Bar No. 104805) mram@forthepeople.com
14		MORGAN & MORGAN, P.A.
15		711 Van Ness Avenue, Suite 500 San Francisco, CA 94102
16		Tel: (415) 358-6913
		Attorneys for Plaintiffs
17		
18		
19		
20		
21		
22		
23		
24		
25		
26		
27		
28		6
	[PROPOSED] JOINT STIPULATION AND	Case No. 5:20-cv-03664-LHK

[PROPOSED] JOINT STIPULATION AND ORDER RE: EXPERT DISCOVERY

ATTESTATION PURSUANT TO CIVIL LOCAL RULE 5-1(i)(3) I, Mark C. Mao, attest that concurrence in the filing of this document has been obtained from the other signatories. I declare under penalty of perjury that the foregoing is true and correct. Executed this 13th day of September, at San Francisco, California. /s/ Mark C. Mao Mark C. Mao

[PROPOSED] JOINT STIPULATION AND ORDER RE: EXPERT DISCOVERY

[PROPOSED] ORDER PURSUANT TO STIPULATION, IT IS SO ORDERED. Dated: September 14, 2021 UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE [PROPOSED] JOINT STIPULATION AND Case No. 5:20-cv-03664-LHK

ORDER RE: EXPERT DISCOVERY